

FEB 13 2013

FCC Mail Room

FCC 12-152

**Before the  
Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554**

In the Matter of	)	
	)	
Notice of Proposed Rulemaking	)	
18 FCC Rcd 13187, 13188 ¶1 (2003)	)	ET Docket No. 03-137
	)	
And	)	
	)	
Service Rules for the Advanced Wireless Services	)	WT Docket No. 12-357
H Block---Implementing Section 6401 of the	)	
Middle Class Tax Relief and Job Creation Act of	)	
2012 Related to the 1915-1920 MHz and	)	
1995-2000 MHz Bands ¶53 footnote 95	)	

To: Office of the Secretary  
Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, DC 20554

Comment Filed by: Karen Spranger  
7520 Hudson  
Warren, MI 48091  
karenaspranger@gmail.com  
586-615-4974

February 6, 2013

## **AFFIDAVIT OF KAREN SPRANGR**

State of Michigan     ]

Macomb County       ]

I, Karen Spranger, attest that my statements are true to the best of my knowledge.

**Comment** round for ET Docket No. 03-137 and WT Docket No. 12-357.

1. My name is Karen Spranger. My address is 7520 Hudson , Warren, MI , 48091.
  - I am a homeowner, senior citizen, and unemployed. I was a caregiver for a family who passed away in 2001. I am not able to find work within a hardship case. My water was turned off for not accepting the new technology. I had asked for general information regarding the new device and did not receive the information. I also tried to FOIA the information and such information does not exist or is protected under the copy right law. I am not in violation of the code of ordinances for the City of Warren. The product name, make, model number, I.D. FCC license number and RF range. How does it work? The guidelines how it is installed from the manufacture? The safety studies regarding this new telecommunication device [standards or guidelines] such information should exist regarding the deployment of such devices.
  - My rights as a homeowner is protecting my personal property and home from a unknown device that says its safe but no proof to me. One I receive this new device into my home installed in the kitchen area where I cook my food and sit down to eat. I will be subject to the RF sound that is in dispute with professional regarding the effects and possible causes of illness [ insomnia, headache, nasaura, neurological disorder, DNA disruption claim in the research written by doctors and professional researchers that study such findings] reaction that I do not have nor want to be exposed too.

2. I am involved in the community speak out about this new technology refer to as a smart meter and I certainly help to educate the public in our city to the RF effect of the sound and alert the harmful effects report by the professional on the biological effects. A standard must be set to protect the consumer for the cost of my health is my wealth. No amount of money can bring back the damage of this sound [RF] has on the human body.
3. It may sound a little bit strange but to do so is a new passion I have for spread the knowledge to each other from state to state, community to community, and have laws to ensure the safety of such products before the fact of using it to experiment with the human body in a way that is not acceptable under any standards. RF causative toxic sound has to go! The new technology is far ahead of a plan of action that leaves the consumer as bait. No were to go in the home environment without this new unknown sound of sound into my home is so unheard of in the normal world of humanity.
4. An item in any contract agreements used by a company that provides service to the community should have to proof the product is safe and not just safe because it works.
5. New plains are negotiable by education, history of the idea of a new digital meter or AMI meter the different is the model? What is can do? How it collects the data or information? What is the information used for? Question any eligible new product[s] that should be reviewed completely, tested completely, compare what other states that use this new technology experienced problems. How are the reports collected and published for the commission to review?
6. How old are the present standards that call it a name telecommunication devices wireless using radio frequency? What tools to use to measure its effects on the

blood, the brain, and the necessary parts of the human being must be protect always. Homeowners' must be protected in their own home environment!

7. Many public health concerns will be a new immediate threat to mankind such dilemma and human beings are faced with no options to escape this new invisible sound that may and can destroy life. Some nations are doing it the right way to install such devices and in some countries are facing new health challenges since this hurried requirement of doing it in a backward sense and not having common sense in planning such projects need the community involvement with the consumer who will be forced to use such devices won't be around to live a happy and reach the senior years we are all able to so. How to upgrade the grid?
8. Studies and standards are needs for the new technology for it to be studied one has a history of usage. A smart meter has no history of testing in the before using it in the public domain for how easy is it to replace the product when it can cause such complex issues on safety, health, and privacy. The grid cost? The plan before the safety standards? Unheard of! As it is planned by the service industry that supplier companies that sell such product should be held accountable for the endangerment of such product. Any new equipment [devices] needs to be studies and a standard reviewed and put into to place so the supplier of the new devices can claim and proof it is safe. The way new technology is being introduced is not working well with the smart consumers who understand such complex effects of these new devices. I beg and ask before the deployment of such devices for all states are being done in a massive manner that many smart consumers are asking anyone of interest to speak up and explain the issues and arguments regarding the smart meter deployment that is being done as reported by the news.
9. My service for water has been shut off because I did not have the installer come into my home to replace a new meter with a new attachment [smart water meter] wireless telecommunication device that has not product name, make, model, I.D. FCC frequency range and FCC license number to give to the customer who is

forced to have one in the home. I cannot understand this bullies see such boldness is unheard off in this 20<sup>th</sup> century.

10. Water, gas, and electric meters will be installed on the home by 2020. Any new product that is implemented now or in the future should be studies and no regulatory testing or the testing in the field has been done is unacceptable behavior by the company and commissions. Any study reports claiming this new technology is safe I need to read and have copies of. Somehow I am concern about the RF standards that are old and does not have a relationship with this new technology wireless smart meters as it our city AMI system is being used without the knowing how it works? Some bills reported are already a new expense. The costs are higher or lower measuring the accuracy for any expensive experiencing a cost unexplained on a bill with the new “smart meter” measurement of this reliable and accuracy product may be not welcome for the conquesent is far greater than the promises made to deliver the service in a mandate manner without concerns of the consumer who has to live with this new device and may have an issue on the safety, issue of health concerns and not have a good night sleep is the number one talked about system you first experience with the unusual exposure to this sound.
11. Additional smart meters in the home environment three times the emission of the RF sound any smart meter product item in any AMI project advancement to the electrical grid.
12. In my home environment for this new technology claims to be safe. But is it remains the question I yet to have answered by authorities. The field studies and expert opinions have published on the internet and other states have taken the issue to the federal court. In reading such court cases the easement rights under the law of contracts by common law should have jurisdiction for the public welfare, safety, health, privacy concerns are real. The Macomb County Wrote a resolution regarding such issues to the MI Public Service Commission Docket # 17000, and a new docket # 17053 for Opt Out provisions a new “tariff”.

13. The FCC has not studied this RF (Radio Frequency) since when? I believe 1997 or longer. The deployment of such new technology is being done! So far no current studies are showing what have been studies by FCC. This relax methodology of testing such new technology has not been done in the real world field testing under any standard governed by the general enactment of law.
14. Many communities had started to get organized and search for answers that “WE THE PEOPLE” should receive honest reporting of the facts related to the issues AND the current RF standards or not knowing the RF home pollution level that will be in the home environment by the 3 new utility company product referred to as a smart meter and this new product claims what it can do but is not proven technology under the current standards that are reported by the jurisdiction of the FCC. I believe because of this product: Smart meter it has not received a welcome to the community because lack of acceptance of truth regarding the cost of such programs and implication that each community has yet put into place as one hoped we can achieve by a due process under the law for a homeowners to protect its personal belong: myself [the human body] from a RF 3 [three] time the emission from the water meter, electric meter, and gas meter for the location on my home would be bedroom (gas), back door entrance electric meter, and water in the kitchen area. I will have exposure from each side of house and the home environment will receive the signals on a daily 27/7. I do not know how this new technology work and had asked in FOIA the name of the product, the make, model #, I.D. FCC license FCC RF number.
15. The water meter issue *I have not accepted into my home this new product that has no name* and I had to be a citizen singled out regarding real issues of these “smart meters” and the water was shut off due to fact I would not accept this new meter. The turn off date was on October 18, 2012. I have yet to receive a violation notice that is within the city code of ordinance policy. It seems one written violation regarding this new device has not been purchased by the city required under city code of ordinance. I am currently learning how

to file an appeal to the court [*Court of Appeals*] and due to the hardship of not being able to afford attorney to help me with the necessary paperwork you need to file in the courts it's a real experience to undergo. I have yet to find a better way to address such immediate matter for no one should have to have such unnecessary treatment of force to comply with common law. My rights were in violation under the constitution and under the state constitution. I believe there is not mandate by the federal government regarding such devices to deploy to the homeowner house.

16. The authority of each commission has its responsibility under the statute for the requirements for MPSC and FCC responsibility should be the same when it comes to the safety of the new technology product that was in the making for many many years [thirteen or longer 1983] project and companies were working on this projects to implement a new grid. The law that allow such programs need to be reviewed by both commissions working together to do the right thing for safety should never be compromised or public welfare be forgotten, and privacy never taken away as protected in the constitution of the United States of America.
  - a. Without any investigation of such new deployment of smart meters the company has not proven it to be safe it is said to be safe and not such current standards found. I could find regarding this new technology field studies showing the actual effects on the biological tissue of the human body.
  - b. My interest and involvement has lead me to speak out and believe in the MI state laws and federal laws of U.S A.
  - c. Action Plan to have FCC investigate its standards.

I will keep asking for FCC to have citizens to submit ideas and let the community be involved for WE can support the efforts in the community showing its desired action plan in changing the FCC RF safety guidelines to meet today demands for safety, public health and privacy concerns.

Respectfully submitted by: Karen Spranger  
7520 Hudson, Warren, MI 48091  
February, 6, 2013

